## Erratum

Communicated by Oved Shisha

Volume 11, Number 2. June (1974), in the article entitled "A Note on Rational Chebyshev Approximation on the Positive Real Axis," by A. R. Reddy, pp. 201–202.

The proof given to the following theorem is valid only in the case  $\tau = \omega$ .

THEOREM. Let  $f(z) = \sum_{k=0}^{\infty} a_k z^k$ ,  $a_k \ge 0$  ( $k \ge 0$ ), be any entire function of order  $\rho$  ( $0 < \rho < \infty$ ), type  $\tau$  and lower type  $\omega$  ( $0 < \omega \le \tau < \infty$ ). Then one cannot find algebraic polynomials  $P_{u}(x)$  and  $Q_{v}(x)$  with nonnegative real coefficients and of degree at most n for which

$$\lim_{n \to \infty} \left\| \frac{1}{f(x)} - \frac{P_n(x)}{Q_n(x)} \right\|_{L_{\chi}(0,\infty)}^{1/n} \le (2\sqrt{2})^{-\tau/(\rho_0)}.$$
 (1)

Even though the approach is fundamentally correct, calculations given there are not. We present now correct calculations with a constant 5 instead of  $(2\sqrt{2})$ .

*Proof.* Let us assume that the theorem is false, so that we have with a constant 5 instead of  $2(2)^{1/2}$ . Then, for arbitrarily large *n*,

$$\frac{1}{f(x)} = \frac{P_n(x)}{Q_n(x)} \Big|_{L_{\infty}[0,\tau]} = 5^{-n\tau/(\rho\omega)}.$$
 (2)

By assumption

$$\overline{\lim_{r \to \tau}} \frac{\log M(r)}{r^{\rho}} = \frac{\tau}{\omega}, \quad \text{where} \quad M(r) = \max_{z \to r} f(z). \quad (3)$$

From (3) it is easy to obtain (as before) that for each  $\epsilon > 0$  and each  $\delta > 1$ we have, for all  $r \ge r_0(\epsilon, \delta) > 0$ ,

$$M(r\delta) \geqslant \{M(r)\}^{\frac{\delta^{p} \circ (1-\epsilon)}{\tau(1+\epsilon)}}.$$
(4)

Now for each  $n \ge \text{some } n_0$ , we can find an  $r \ge 0$  such that

$$f(r) = 5^{n\tau/(2\rho_0)} - 2.$$
 (5)

ISSN 0021 9045

At this point r,

$$\frac{Q_n(r)}{P_n(r)} = 5^{\frac{n}{2\rho m}} n.$$
(6)

for otherwise (2) would be contradicted. From (4) and (5) we get, with  $\delta^{\nu}=2\pi/\omega,$ 

$$f(r\delta) = \left\{ f(r) \right\}^{\frac{2(1-\epsilon)}{(1+\epsilon)}} = \frac{\pi\tau(1-\epsilon)}{5^{\rho(\alpha(1+\epsilon))}}.$$
(7)

On the other hand, since the coefficients of  $P_n(x)$  and  $Q_n(x)$  are nonnegative reals, we get by (6),

$$\frac{Q_n(r\delta)}{P_n(r\delta)} = \frac{\delta^n Q_n(r)}{P_n(r\delta)} = \left(\frac{2\tau}{\omega}\right)^{\frac{n}{p}} \overline{5}^{\frac{n\tau}{2p\omega}} n.$$
(8)

From (7) and (8), choosing  $\epsilon$  to be sufficiently small, and observing that

$$5^{\frac{n\tau(1-3\epsilon)}{2\rho(\sigma(1-\epsilon))}} + (2n) \left(\frac{2\tau}{\omega}\right)^n$$
 for all large  $-n$ .

we get

$$5^{-\frac{n\tau}{p\omega}} \lesssim 5^{-\frac{n\tau}{2p\omega}}(2\tau)^{-\frac{n}{p}} n^{-1} \omega^{\frac{n}{p}} 5^{-\frac{n\tau(1-\epsilon)}{p\omega(1-\epsilon)}} \\ \simeq \frac{P_n(r\delta)}{Q_n(r\delta)} \frac{1}{f(r\delta)}.$$
(9)

Equation (9) clearly contradicts (2), and the result is proved.

*Note.* The Theorem is valid if, in (1),  $2\sqrt{2}$  is replaced by any constant >4. RECEIVED: February 7, 1976.

A. R. REDDY

School of Mathematics Institute for Advanced Study Princeton, New Jersey 08540

386